

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5284 OF 1995@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

TARLOCHAN SINGH APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

U.T. OF CHANDIGARH & ORS. . . . Respondent (s)
(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
Judgment and with office report)

WITH SLP(C)No.20988/1997-(With prayer for interim relief)
WP(C)No.158/1998-(With appln.(s) for stay and with office report)
C.A.Nos.3415-3416/1996, 4474/1998-(With office report)

Date : 19/09/2002 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAIAK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant (s)
in CA 5284/95

Mr. A.V. Palli, Adv.
Mr. Atul Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajneesh Joswal, Adv.
Ms. Rekha Palli, Adv.

in SLP(C)20988/97
& WP(C)158/98

Mr. L.N. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, Adv.

in CA 4474/98

Mr. K.G. Bhagat, Adv.
Mr. Vineet Bhagat, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Baid, Adv.
Mr. Manohar Singh Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.

in CA 3415-16//96

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. J.D. Jain, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P.K. Manohar, Adv.

Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, Adv.
Ms. Abha R. Sharma, Adv.

State of Pb.

Mr. Ranbir Yadav, Adv.
Mr. R.S. Suri, Adv.

..2/-

.PA

.2.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Mr. A.V. Palli, learned counsel for the appellant started his arguments at 12.40 p.m. and concluded at 12.55. After that, Mr. J.D. Jain, learned senior counsel for the respondents started his arguments at 12.55 p.m. and concluded at 2.15 p.m. Subsequent to that, Mr. L. Nageswara Rao, learned senior counsel for the appellant commenced his arguments and concluded at 2.50 p.m. Thereafter, Mr. Ranbir Yadeav, learned counsel appearing for the State of Punjab made his submissions for 10 minutes and Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, learned counsel appearing for the Chandigarh Administration made her submissions for 15 minutes.

After hearing this bunch of cases for a considerable length of time, we feel it impossible on our part to come to any conclusion unless a set of Rules relevant in the Chandigarh Administration as well as State of Punjab is filed before us. In these circumstances, we direct the counsel appearing for the Chandigarh Administration as well as for the State of Punjab to file a set of Rules, i.e., Punjab Service of Engineers Class II, PWD (Buildings and Roads) Branch Rules, 1965 as amended from time to time with an affidavit of the concerned officer that what he produces is the correct copy of the Rules.

This may be done within a period of two weeks from today. To come up after two weeks.

.SP1

Sarita (Suneet Bala Sharma)@@
AA
Assistant Registrar@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

@@
A