

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).4059/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 27/04/2005 in WPCRL No. 319/2004 of The
HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

RAVINDER SINGH PATWAL & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 02/02/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Mahendra Anand, Sr.Adv.

Mr. H.S. Parihar,Adv.

Mr. Kuldeep Parihar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha,Adv.

Mr.A.Sharan, ASG

Ms. Rajni Singh, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Meenu Sethi)

Court Master

(Pushap Lata Bhardwaj)

Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 156 OF 2007

(Arising out of SLP(Crl) No.4059/2006)

Ravinder Singh Patwal & Anr. ...Appellants

Versus

The Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Ors. ...Respondents

ORDER

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties

and having regard to the fact that the purported compromise

petition entered into by and between respondent Nos. 2 and

3 on the one hand and some of the purchasers on the other,

we are of the view that the High Court has quashed the F.I.R.

ignoring the cases of other vendees and in particular the

nature of the offences alleged against the respondents and,

thus, the impugned judgment cannot be sustained. It is set

aside accordingly.

The appeal is allowed.

.....J.

[S.B. SINHA]

.....

...J.

[MARKANDEY

KATJU]

New Delhi,

February 2, 2007