

ITEM NO.103

COURT NO.12

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 181/2009

P.JAYA RAO

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PEDAPATI CHINA PREMANANDAM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 19/03/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s)

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Adv.  
Mr. M. Srinivas Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Arun Devdas, Adv.  
Mrs. Sudha Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.  
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.  
  
Ms. T. Anamika, Adv.  
Mr. B.V. Chandan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

However, we must express our gratitude to Ms. T. Anamika, learned Amicus Curiae who was appearing on behalf of the respondents and helped us to come to such a conclusion.

(VISHAL ANAND)  
COURT MASTER

(INDU BALA KAPUR)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
Criminal Appeal No(s). 181/2009

P. JAYA RAO

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PEDAPATI CHINA PREMANANDAM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. K. Parameshwar, learned counsel appearing for the appellant at length today.

We do not find that there is any reason to interfere with the impugned order dated 19-11-2007 so passed by the High Court of Judicature of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad. There is no merit in the Appeal filed by the appellant. Hence, the Criminal Appeal is dismissed.

However, we must express our gratitude to Ms. T. Anamika, learned Amicus Curiae who was appearing on behalf of the respondents and helped us to come to such a conclusion.

.....J  
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J  
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI;  
19TH MARCH, 2015.