

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NO. 1 IN R.P. (C) No. 1477 of 2007 In

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1863 OF 2007

M/S. K. SATISHCHANDRA & CO.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

COMMNR. OF INCOME TAX, MANGALORE

Respondent(s)

(With appln.for c/delay in filing review petition and office report)

Date: 16/04/2008 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Sanjay Kunur, Adv.
Mr.Ramesh Keshwani, Adv.
For M/s Keshwani & Co.For Respondent(s) Mr. Arijit Prasad, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das,Adv.UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Delay condoned.

The review petition is disposed of.

[Vinod Lakhina]
Senior P.A.[Vinod Kulvi]
Court MasterSigned order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. 1 IN

REVIEW PETITION NO.1477/2007

IN CIVIL APPEAL NO.1863/2007

M/s K. Satishchandra & Co.

.. Applicant(s)

Versus

Commissioner of Income, Mangalore

.. Respondent(s)

ORDER

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In our order dated April 9, 2007, the last sentence reads as under:

"The appeal is, accordingly, allowed. There shall be no order as to costs."

While disposing of the above matter, we have relied upon a decision of this Court in Commissioner of Income Tax vs. Varas International P. Ltd. reported in (2006) 284 ITR 80 (SC). In fact, the order ought to have been dismissal of the appeal. Instead of that, the appeal was ordered to be

..2/-

:2:

allowed. Hence, that part of the order is reviewed and the sentence now will read as under:

"The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs."

The review petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

.....J
[C.K. THAKKER]

.....J
[ALTMAS KABIR]
NEW DELHI,
April 16, 2008.