

>,PART-HEARD

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.3595-3612 OF 1999

SECY., STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

UMADEVI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional document(s) on record
and office report)

With Civil Appeal Nos.1861-2063 of 2001

(With appln.(s) for directions, prayer for interim relief and office
report)

Civil Appeal Nos.3520-3524 of 2002

Civil Appeal No.3849 of 2001

S.L.P. (C) Nos.9103-9105 of 2001

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 18/01/2006 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN

For Appellant(s)/

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.

State of Karnataka:

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Kirpal,Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Mishra,Adv.

Mr. A. Rohen Singh,Adv.

In CA 1861-2063/2001:

Mr. M.C. Bhandare,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Mohan V. Katarki,Adv.

Mr. M.A. Limbikai,Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Raju Ramachandran,Sr.Adv.

In CA 3595-3612/99: Mr. Naveen R. Nath,Adv.

Mr. Ranganath Jois,Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy,Adv.

Ms. Lalit Mohini Bhat,Adv.

Ms. Pooja Dhar,Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Sinha,Adv.

Mr. Joseph Pookkatt,Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kamath,Adv.

Ms. Simanti Chakrabarti,Adv.

...2/-

- 2 -

In CA 3520-3524/2002:

Mr. Basava Prabhu S. Patil,Adv.

Mr. A.S. Bhasme,Adv.

Mr. B. Subrahmanya Prasad,Adv.

Mr. V. Laxminarayana,Adv.

Mr. Kashi Vishweshwar,Adv.

In CA 3849/2001:

Mr. Raju Ramachandran,Sr.Adv.

Ms. Pooja Dhar,Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Majithia,Adv.

Mr. Joseph Pookkatt,Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar,Adv.

State of Karnataka:

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde,Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Kirpal,Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Mishra,Adv.

Mr. A. Rohen Singh,Adv.

In CA 9103-9105/2001:

Mr. Rajesh Mahale,Adv.

Mr. S. Manjunath,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

his
arguments at 10.30 a.m. and concluded at 3.10 p.m. Thereafter, Mr. Basava
Prabhu S. Patil, learned counsel appearing for the respondents
in Civil
Appeal Nos.3520-3524 of 2002, made his submissions till 3.50
p.m. Mr.
Mukul Rohtagi, learned senior counsel appearing for the State
of
Karnataka, thereafter rejoins and was on his legs when the court rose for the
day.

The matters remained part-heard.

[T.I. Rajput] [N. Annapurna] [Radha R. Bhatia]

A.R.-cum-P.S. Court Master Court Master

PART-HEARD

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVI

DATE : 19TH JANUARY, 2006.

CORAM AND APPEARANCE : AS OF 18TH JANUARY, 2006.

his
submissions at 10.30 a.m. and concluded at

...3/-

- 3 -

2.40 p.m. Mr. M.C. Bhandare, learned senior counsel, thereafter made submissions till 2.55 p.m.

Hearing concluded.

Judgement reserved.

Liberty is granted to the parties to file written submissions within a week.

[T.I. Rajput] [N. Annapurna] [Radha R. Bhatia]

A.R.-cum-P.S. Court Master Court Master