

P  
SLP(Crl.)No. 913 OF 2002  
ITEM No.30

Court No. 7

SECTION IIA  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 913/2002

(From the judgement and order dated 06/12/2000 in CRLA 834/89  
of The HIGH COURT OF M.P AT JABALPUR)

PREM NARAYAN & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P.

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for bail )  
( With Office Report )

Date : 20/01/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Petitioner (s)

M/s H.A. Raichura,  
SH Raichura,Avs.

For Respondent (s)

Mr.RP Gupta,Sr.Adv.  
Ms. Kamakshi S. Mehlwal,Adv.  
Mr.Prashant Kumar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....J  
.SP2

In respect of petitioner nos.1,2 and 4, the S.L.P.  
is not pressed. Their S.L.P. is dismissed.

Leave granted in respect of petitioner no.3 - Gajraj  
Singh.

The appeal is partly allowed in terms of the signed  
order. Gajraj Singh shall be released forthwith, if not  
required in any other case.

.SP1

[Naresh Kumar]  
Court Master

[ VP Tyagi ]  
Court Master

[Signe order is placed on the file.]

.PA  
.UP 10 2; Fixed-pitch, smtst; -n -ml4 -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -Fx -e -j; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
....L....I....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 77 OF 2003@@

CC  
[Arising out of SLP(Cr) 913/2002]

Prem Narayan & Ors. ... Appellants

vs.

State of M.P. ... Respondent

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

.SP2

In respect of petitioner nos.1,2 and 4, the S.L.P. is not pressed. Their S.L.P. is dismissed.

Leave granted in respect of petitioner no.3 - Gajraj Singh.

In respect of appellant no.3 - Gajraj Singh, it seems that he with a stick inflicted on Ramprasad injury no.3. The said injury has been opined by Dr.Abbasci to be simple in nature. In this view, in so far as Gajraj Singh is concerned, we are of the view that the sentence already undergone by him would meet the ends of justice and to this extent we modify the impugned judgment and order of the High Court. The appeal is accordingly partly allowed. Gajraj Singh shall be released forthwith, if not required in any other case.

.SP1

.....J.  
[ Y.K. SABHARWAL ]

New Delhi,  
January 20, 2003.@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

.....J.  
[ H.K. SEMA ]