

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 2299/2013

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAM RAJ & ORS. Respondent(s)  
(with office report)

WITH  
C.A. No. 2301/2013  
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 7150/2013  
(With Office Report)

Date : 24/03/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr. K.K. Mahalik,Adv.  
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Prem Prakash,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

C.A. No. 2299 and 2301/2013

The office report is that service of notice is complete on the respondents but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. The office report proceeds to state that the learned counsel for the Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
Madhu Grover

appellants has failed to file the statement of case although he was

Date: 2015.03.26

06:07:29 CET

Reason:

notified to do so by notice dated 7.10.2013 of the Registry. Order  
XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the

ITEM NO.106

appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. In view of the rule position cited above the matter

shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

C.A. No. 7150/2013

File not received.

List again on 14.7.2015.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

MG