

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
 R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S
 C I V I L A P P E A L N O (s) . 6 2 8 0 O F 2 0 0 3

OR I E N T A L I N S U R A N C E C O . L T D .
 V E R S U S

Appellant (s)

Y . P A D M A & A N R .

Respondent(s)

(Office report on default dt. 6.2.2009)

Date: 27/02 / 2 0 0 9 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M : H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E R . V . R A V E E N D R A N
 (I N C H A M B E R S)

For Appellant(s) Ms. Ruchi Bharda, Adv.
 Mr. B. K.Satiya, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mrs K.Sharda Devi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

The office report shows that notice in respect of respondent No.2 was issued to counsel for the appellant on 31.8.2005 for effecting dasti service on respondent No.2. Though, three and a half years have elapsed and several opportunities have been granted, the appellant has not chosen to serve respondent 2 and file affidavit of service. Learned counsel for the petitioner again seeks time.

On the facts, this is not a fit case for granting time. However, finally four weeks' time is granted for doing the needful subject to deposit of Rs.1,000/- (rupees one thousand) as costs with the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee and producing the acknowledgment within four weeks. If the steps are not taken within four weeks or if the costs are not deposited, the appeal against respondent 2 shall stand dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Ravi P. Verma)
 Court Master

(Anand Singh)
 Assistant Registrar