

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 2811/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 22/12/2000 in SBCRA 327/00
of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR)

SANT LAL

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

RAM NIWAS AND ORS

Respondent (s)

Date : 03/12/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.P. MOHAPATRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI

For Petitioner (s) Mr.Rajiv Dutta,Sr.Adv.
Mr. R. Nedumaran,Adv.

For Respondent (s)
For State: Ms. Sandhya Goswami,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.J
.SP2

Heard Mr. Rajiv Dutta, learned senior counsel
appearing for the petitioner and Ms. Sandhya Goswami
appearing for the respondent No.5-State of Rajasthan.

The main thrust of the arguments of Mr.Rajiv Dutta
is against the direction issued by the Special Judge SC/ST
(Prevention of Atrocities Act) Court, Churu to the effect
"For lodging the false report by complainant-Sant Lal and
according to the remarks given in the judgment, a copy of
this judgment be sent to Branch Manager, Marudhar Regional
Gramin Bank, Doongargarh Branch".

-2-

Mr. Dutta contends that in view of this
observation, the service of the petitioner, in the Bank is
likely to be adversely affected. He further submits that
since the accused persons have been acquitted by the
Special Judge in the judgment which has been onfirmed by
the High Court the direction quoted above may be deleted
from the judgment of the Special Judge.

Considering the submissions of Shri Dutta, we are
of the view that the direction of the trial court, quoted

above, was not necessary for disposal of the case on merit. We, therefore, order its deletion from the judgment. Rest of the judgment of the trial court as confirmed by the High Court will remain unaltered. The SLP is disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

(Suman Wadhwa)
Court Master

(S.Malkani)
Court Master