

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 11048-11049 OF 2016**  
**WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF**

**Prasannatma Das**

**...Petitioner**

**-Versus-**

**Shankha Brita Das & Ors.**

**...Respondents**

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Registrar Court on 22.07.2016, when the following order was passed:

**“Four weeks time is given to the respondent Nos. 1, 8, 9,10,11,12, 17 and 20 to file the counter affidavit.**

**The learned counsel for the petitioner shall within a period of four weeks file the fresh particulars of the respondent Nos.3,4 and 19 he shall also take appropriate steps for the service of notice to them within the same period .**

**Mr. Dev Roy, Ld. Advocate has appeared on behalf of the respondent No. 2. He has stated that he has already filed the vakalatnama. Office shall verify the same. Ld. Counsel further stated that copies of the pleadings have not been provided to him. The learned counsel for petitioners is directed to provide the copies of the pleadings to the learned counsel within a weeks' time and file proof. The respondent shall file the Counter Affidavit within a period of four weeks, thereafter.**

**Four weeks time is given to the learned counsel for the petitioner for filing the affidavit in proof of dasti service of notice already issued to the respondent Nos. 5 to 7, 13 to 16, 18 and 21.**

**List again on 2.9.2016.”**

It is submitted that Mr. Vikas Singh Jangra counsel for the respondent nos. 9-12, Mr. Samar Vijay Singh counsel for the respondent nos. 17 & 20 (Common) in all SLPs and Mr. Apoorv Kurup counsel for the respondent nos. 1 and 8 have not filed counter affidavit on their behalf.

It is submitted that counsel for the petitioner has not taken any fresh steps in respect of unserved respondent Nos. 3, 4 and 19 so far.

It is further submitted that after gone through the record it is submitted that Mr. Dev Raj, Advocate has not filed vakalatanama/memo of appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 2. Hence service of show cause notice on respondent no. 2 is not complete.

It is further submitted that counsel for the petitioner has not filed affidavit with proof of dasti service in respect of respondent nos. 5-7, 13-16 18 and 21 so far.

Service of show cause notice on respondent nos. 2, 3, 4, 5-7, 13-16, 19 and 21 is not complete.

The matters above mentioned are, therefore, listed before the Registrar Court with this office report.

Dated this the 01<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2016.

Copy to:

Mr. Dinkar Kalra, Advocate  
Mr. Vikas Singh Jangra, Advocate  
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, Advocate  
Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Advocate

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

PC2