

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).1192/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 17/01/2006 in CRLRC No. 1134/2001 and 1291/2001 and

CRLOP No. 34658/2005 and CRLRC NO. 1198/2001 and CRLMP No. 6515/2001 of The HIGH

COURT OF MADRAS)

K.C. RAMAN & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay)

Date: 24/03/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. N. Natarajan, Sr. Adv.

Mr.P.R. Kovilan, Adv.

Mr. V. Krishna Murthy,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard.

The special leave petition is dismissed.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners prays for a direction to the effect that the case should

be tried without being influenced by any of the observations made by the High Court in the impugned

order. This goes without saying. The observations made in the impugned order are in the context of the

prayer made by the petitioners for being discharged and they have nothing to do with the case on

merits. The Trial Court should, and we are sure, would proceed with the case and record findings in

accordance with law, without being influenced by any of the observations made by the High Court.

Singh)	(J.S. Rawat)	(Kanwal
Master	AR-cum-PS	Court