

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

CRLMP. 857/2015 & CRLMP. 859/2015 in Criminal Appeal
662/2006

No(s).

SURENDRA PAL & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(for raising issue of juvenility and exemption from filing O.T.
and office report)

Date : 13/02/2015 These applications were called on for hearing
today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AC
Ms. Vaishali Dixit, Adv.
Ms. Vaishnavi Subramanyam, Adv.
Ms. Srishti Gohil, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Kshirsagar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that even in a
dismissed matter, if sufficient evidence is there to show
that the accused was juvenile, at the time of commission of
offence, as per the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of
Children) Act, 2000 and the various judgments of this Court,
this Court can re-consider the matter on the issue

of

juvenility despite the review petition also dismissed.

In view of the above, issue notice.

Learned counsel for the applicant is also permitted to
serve the notice on the standing counsel for the State.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Vinod Kumar

Date: 2015.02.14

10:34:26 IST

Reason:

(VINOD KR.JHA)
COURT MASTER

(MALA KUMARI SHARMA)
COURT MASTER