

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).6449/2005

(From the judgement and order dated 21/02/2005 in RWAMP No. 451/2005 & WA No. 1950/2002 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

R. RAMALINGESWASRA RAO & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

EXE.ENGINEER, A.P.S.T.D.C. LTD.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record,permission for urging additional facts

and prayer for interim relief and office report

WITH SLP(C) NO.6571/2005

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. Facts and grounds and permission to place addl.facts and

grounds and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

With

SLP(C) No. 9588-9589/2005

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and permission to place addl. Documents on record and exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and office report)

Date: 03/04/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Adv.

Mr.S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.

Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.

Mr. A. Venyagam, Adv. For

M/S. Lawyer'S Knit & Co.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. L. Nageswara Rao, Sr.Adv.

Mr.Roy Abraham, Adv.

Ms. Seema Jain, Adv.

Mr.Himinder Lal,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

On 27.2.2006, Mr.L. Nageswara Rao, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents

had made a statement before us that the appeals preferred by the respondents, wherefrom the impugned

orders arise, have

...2/-

-2-

since been disposed of by an order dated 30.6.2005 whereagainst, revision

applications bearing Nos. 3744/2005, 3745/2005 and 3746/2005 have already been filed before the High

Court. In view of the fact that the learned counsel for the petitioners can raise all the contentions which

have been raised before us in the said pending revision applications, we are of the opinion that it is not

necessary for us to interfere with the impugned orders at this stage. All the contentions raised in the

special leave petitions are kept open. In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, we would

request the High Court to consider the desirability of disposing of the revision applications as

expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of three months from the date of

communication of this order.

The special leave petitions are disposed of with the aforementioned observations.

Bhardwaj) (Meenu Sethi)

Court Master

( Pushap Lata

Court Master