

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.128 OF 2013

MUKESH KUMAR SHARMA, ADVOCATE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, SUPREME COURT &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to appear and argue in-person)

Date: 10/04/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Pareena Swarup, Adv.  
for in-person

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gourab K. Banerjee, ASG.

Nos.1-2: Mr. Syed Abdul Haseeb, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The subject-matter of the writ petition is the examination conducted by the Supreme Court for making advocates on-record of the Supreme Court. The said examination consists of various papers, but the writ petitioner is really concerned with the third paper, which is on 'Professional Ethics and Advocacy'.

During the pendency of this writ petition, which was filed on 20th February, 2013, it appears that a separate representation has also been made

...2/-

- 2 -

to Hon'ble the Chief Justice and other Hon'ble Judges by the candidates, including the candidate who has filed the present writ petition, praying for the self-same relief.

In such circumstances, after hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Solicitor General, we dispose of the writ petition with the observation that the representation may be disposed of early and, if possible, within two weeks from date.

Let a copy of the aforesaid representation produced by the learned Additional Solicitor General in Court today, be kept in the record.

[ T.I. Rajput ]  
Deputy Registrar[ Juginder Kaur ]  
Assistant Registrar