

In TP 1100 Mr. N. Ganpathy, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.

In TP 1101 Mr. Pijush Kanti Roy, Adv.
Mr. G. Rama Krishna Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.

In TP 1102 Mr. Sudhir Kulshreshtha, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.

In TP 813 For NHAI Mr. Praveen Jain, Adv.
Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Adv.
Mr. Akshat Kulshrestha, Adv.
For M/s M.V. Kini Associates, Adv.

For Rrs 2 & 3 in TP 814 Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.
Mr. Nikunj Dayal, Adv.
Ms. Payal Dayal, Adv.

In TP 815 Mr. Sudhir Kulshrestha, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.

For Rrs 2-4 In TP 816 Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.
Mr. Nikunj Dayal, Adv.
Ms. Payal Dayal, Adv.

For Rrs 1 & 2 in TP 817 Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Avinash Kumar Jain, Adv.

TP(C) 1094-1102 of 2005

In TP 820-824 For RR 2 Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv.

For RR 5 Mr. K.B. Rohtagi, Adv.

For RR 6 Mr. Sudhir Kulshrestha, Adv.

For RR 7 Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Soni, Adv.
Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.

For RR 1 in TP 826 Mr. Praveen Paruthi, Adv.
Mr. Bipin Kalappa, Adv.
Mr. M.N. Krishna, Adv.
Mr. N. Ganpathy, Adv.

In TP 827 Mr. Sudhir Kulshrestha, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.
Mr. C.K. Sucharita, Adv.

...contd/---

In TP 829
For RR 1 Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.

For RR 41 Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

For RR 43 Mr C.K. Sucharita, Adv.

For Rrs 7 & 8 in TP 830
Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, Adv.

In TP 831
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.

In TP 832, 833, 834, Ms. Garima Kapoor, Adv.
838, 839 Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, Adv.

In TP 845
Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.
Mr. Ramesh K. Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

TP(C) 1094-1102 of 2005

Transfer Petitions Nos. 813 of 2005, 814 of
2005, 815 of 2005 and 816 of 2005 stand dismissed
as having become infructuous.

Status Report is awaited in TP(C) Nos.
818/2005, 819/2005 from the High Court at
Allahabad, in TP (C) Nos. 830/2005 and 831 of
2005 from the High Court at Jharkhand.

All the remaining transfer petitions in
which the writ petitions are pending as per the
Status Reports received from the various High
Courts mentioned above, are allowed in terms of
the prayer.

In case, any of the writ petitions referred
to in the above transfer petitions are disposed of
by the High Courts the transfer petitions in respect
of those cases shall be deemed to have been
dismissed as infructuous.

The High Courts shall transmit the records of
the aforesaid cases to this Court as expeditiously

as possible.

Parties would be at liberty to file additional documents in the transferred cases within eight weeks.

Applications seeking impleadment and intervention shall be taken up along with the

TP(C) 1094-1102 of 2005

1

transferred cases.

Hearing expedited.

[KALYANI GUPTA]
COURT MASTER

[SNEH BALA MEHRA]
COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE.]

TP(C) 1094-1102 of 2005

2

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. 1094-1102 OF 2005

UNION OF INDIA PETITIONER

VERSUS

M/S. SHIVAM BRICKS & ORS. ETC. RESPONDENTS

WITH

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. 813-840 & 845 OF 2005

UNION OF INDIA PETITIONER

VERSUS

ENT NIRMATA SANGH AND ORS RESPONDENTS

WITH

O R D E R

1. These transfer petitions have been filed by the Union of India under Article 139 A of the Constitution of India read with Order XXXVIA of the Supreme Court Rules, 1966 seeking transfer of various writ petitions pending before the High Courts of Punjab and Haryana, Allahabad, Himachal Pradesh and Jharkhand.

2. When TP(C) Nos. 1094-1102 of 2005 came up for hearing on 12th December, 2005, this Court ordered as TP(C) 1094-1102 of 2005

3

under:

"Issue notice.
There shall be stay of further proceedings in the meantime."

2.1 When TP(C) 813-840 and 845 of 2005 came up for hearing on 17th February, 2006, this court passed the following order:

"Prayer for withdrawal is accepted.
Issue notice.
There shall be stay of further proceedings in the meantime.
Tag with TP(C) Nos. 1094-1102/2005."

3. While sending the show cause notice and order of stay in execution of the aforesaid orders of this Court, status report of the writ petitions was also called for. The High Courts have sent the status reports.

4. Vide office report dated 3rd November, 2011 and the status reports sent by the aforesaid High Courts, WP No. 50579/2004, WP No. 11893/2005, WP 113/2005 and WP 46815/2004 have been disposed of by the High Court of Allahabad. Hence, Transfer Petitions Nos. 813 of 2005, 814 of 2005, 815 of 2005 and 816 of 2005 stand dismissed as having become infructuous.

5. Status Report is awaited in TP(C) Nos. 818/2005,

Nos. 830/2005 and 831 of 2005 from the High Court at
Jharkhand.

6. All the remaining transfer petitions in which the
writ petitions are pending as per the Status Reports
received from the various High Courts mentioned above,
are allowed in terms of the prayer.

7. In case, any of the writ petitions referred to
in the above transfer petitions are disposed of by the
High Courts the transfer petitions in respect of those
cases shall be deemed to have been dismissed as
infructuous.

8. The High Courts shall transmit the records of the
aforesaid cases to this Court as expeditiously as
possible.

9. Parties would be at liberty to file additional
documents in the transferred cases within eight weeks.

10. Hearing expedited.

.....J
[DALVEER BHANDARI]

.....J
[DIPAK MISRA]

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 04, 2011.