

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition(Crl.) No. 864-865/2003 in Crl.A.No. 577-578/1995
JAGDEO & ORS. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. Respondent (s)
(With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing review petition oral hearing)
Date : 16/10/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

By Circulation
UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following

O R D E R

Except to the extent of correcting the record as regards expiry of two respondents, the review petitions are dismissed as having no merit.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
Court Master

[Om Prakash]
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NOS.864-865 OF 2003

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. 577-578 OF 1995

Jagdeo & Ors.

.....
Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....
Respondent (s)

O R D E R

Delay condoned.
Respondent No. 5 Kishun s/o Sheonath died during pendency of appeal and by an order made on 3.4.95, appeal as against him is treated as having abated. As regards Respondent No. 3, it is now set out in the Review Petitions that he died in the year 1997. The record shall be corrected to show that appeal as against him has also abated.
Rest of the grounds raised are such as those which could be raised in an appeal and not in a Review Petition.
Except to the extent of correcting the record as regards expiry of two respondents, the Review Petitions are dismissed as having no merit.

.....J[S. RAJENDRA BABU]

.....J[ARUN KUMAR]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NOS.864-865 OF 2003

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. 577-578 OF 1995

Jagdeo & Ors.

....

Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of U.P.

....

Respondent (s)

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Respondent No. 5 Kishun s/o Sheonath died during pendency of appeal and by an order made on 3.4.95, appeal as against him is treated as having abated. As regards Respondent No. 3, it is now set out in the Review Petitions that he died in the year 1997. The record shall be corrected to show that appeal as against him has also abated.

Rest of the grounds raised are such as those which could be raised in an appeal and not in a Review Petition.

Except to the extent of correcting the record as regards expiry of two respondents, the Review Petitions are dismissed as having no merit.

.....J[S. RAJENDRA BABU]

.....J[ARUN KUMAR]

NEW DELHI,
OCTOBER 16,2003