

SECTION IV
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2

(Application for Restoration)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3

(Application for condonation of delay in filing application for Restoration)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5592 AND 5593 OF 2006

Ramesh Chander & Ors. etc.

...Appellants

VERSUS

Ranjit Singh & Ors.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Application Nos. 2 and 3 were listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 12th May, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"C.A. No.5592/2006

Service of legal representatives of deceased respondent no. 7 is still awaited.

With respect to the remaining respondents Nos. 1 to 6 and 8 to 11 also, service is not yet complete. Ld. Counsel for the appellant still to take fresh steps to get the service effected. Be taken within four weeks. Notices thereafter be issued.

C.A. No.5593/2006

Affidavit of serving the legal representatives of deceased respondent nos. 11 and 12 is still awaited. Be filed within three weeks.

Service of respondent nos. 8 to 10 and 13 is complete but none has entered appearance.

With respect to respondent nos. 1 to 7, ld. Counsel for the appellant still to take fresh steps to get the service effected. Be taken within four weeks. Notices thereafter be issued.

List again on 18.8.2015."

IN CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5592 OF 2006

It is submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not filed affidavit of service of notice of motion after effecting service on proposed LR. of deceased Respondent No. 7.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not taken steps for effecting service of notice on Respondent Nos. 1 to 6 and 8 to 11 despite order quoted above. However, unserved covers with postal remarks 'refused' have already been received from Respondent Nos. 8 to 11. Counsel for appellant has furnished the fresh and correct address of Respondent Nos. 12 and 13 to whom Notices is yet to be issued.

It is also submitted that Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate in terms of letter dated 29.01.2014 stating therein that he is no more in contact with the Respondents, has not filed appropriate application for discharge of Advocate on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 6 despite this Registry's letter dated 04.02.2014.

IN C.A. NO. 5593 OF 2006

It is submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not filed affidavit of service of notice of motion after effecting service on the proposed LR. of deceased Respondent Nos. 11 and 12 till date.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has not taken any steps for effecting service on Respondent Nos. 1 to 7. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent Nos. 1 to 7.

It is also submitted that Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate in terms of letter dated 29.01.2014 stating therein that he is no more in contact with the Respondents, has not filed appropriate application for discharge of Advocate on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 6 despite this Registry's letter dated 04.02.2014.

The Applications in the appeals above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with this office report.

Dated this the 17th day of August, , 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

1. Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Advocate
B-7, Ashadeep, 9, Hailey Road, New Delhi

2. Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate
17, Lawyers' Chambers, SCI, New Delhi

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

suantak/c-2