

\$ITEM NO.123

COURT NO.6

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1525 OF 2003

VASANT SATHE & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing surrender certificate from
Jail Authority and office report)

Date: 22/07/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Arun R.Pednekar, Adv.for
Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sushil Karanjakar, Adv.for
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

By our order dated 05th May, 2003, the appellants
were released on bail. Their bail bonds shall stand
discharged.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1525 OF 2003

Vasant Sathe & Others

..Appellants

versus

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

O R D E R

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned
judgment of the High Court of Judicature at Bombay dated
13th December, 2002 passed in Criminal Revision Application

No. 509 of 2002.

The facts in detail have been stated in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the impugned judgment, we are of the opinion that end of justice will be sub-served if while upholding the conviction of the appellants, we reduce the period of sentence to the sentence already undergone by them.

We order accordingly.

By our order dated 05th May, 2003, the appellants were released on bail. Their bail bonds shall stand discharged.

The Criminal Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;J.
JULY 22, 2010 [T.S. THAKUR]