

ITEM NO.28

COURT NO.3 SECTION XI
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).18817/2013
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16/10/2012
in WP No.4105/2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at
Allahabad, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow)
STATE OF U.P. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AYODHYA PRASAD PAL & ORS. Respondent(s)
(Office report on default)
Date : 11/07/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.
CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Vibhu Tiwari, Adv.
Mr.Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, A OR(NP)

For Respondent(s) Mr. K. K. Mohan, Adv.(NP)
Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

A perusal of the office report reveals that respondent
No.3 is still unserved, and further that, Mr.Jogy Scaria, Advocate
for respondent No.2 has not cured the defects in the Vakalatnama
and the Memo of Appearance so far. Let unserved respondent No.3 be
served afresh within four weeks from today. Likewise, liberty is
granted to the learned counsel, who had appeared for respondent
No.2, to file the Power of Attorney and the Memo of Appearance of
the said respondent within the same time.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER