

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).270-271/2005  
(From the judgement and order dated 10/09/2001 in CC No. 1899/1999  
& WP No. 9600/2000 & RWP No. 31656/2003 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P  
AT HYDERABAD)

COMMISSIONER OF CIVIL SUPPLIES A.P.&amp;ANR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

P.PREM KISHORE AND ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP,interim stay,c/delay in  
refiling SLP and prayer for interim relief )

WITH S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 6675 of 2004  
(With prayer for interim relief)  
SLP(C) NO. 7647 of 2005  
(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 01/12/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Dinesh, Adv.  
Mrs.D. Bharathi Reddy,Adv.

Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.  
M/S. P.S.N. & Co., Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. A. Ranganadhan, Adv.  
Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan, Adv.  
Mr. A.V. Rangam,Adv.

Mrs.D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Respondent has filed counter affidavit on 24.5.2005.

Thereafter, matter was listed on 26.4.2006 when two  
weeks' time was granted as a last chance to file  
rejoinder affidavit and again matter was listed on

4.7.2006 and two weeks time was granted in favour of the petitioner to file rejoinder affidavit as per their request. Today Mr. Dinesh appearing on behalf of Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Advocate is present for the petitioner. He is aware that he is appearing for the petitioner and he is complaining about non-receipt of the office report and he is not aware about what is to be done in this matter.

..2/-

-2-

Learned counsel Mr. A.V.Rangam appearing on behalf of respondent is pressing to list the matter before the Hon'ble Court considering the fact that matter is relating to retirement and pension benefits and that the Hon'ble Court has by its Order dated 6.4.2005 stayed the contempt proceedings against the petitioner. Petitioner must be aware about this fact since contempt proceedings against them was stayed by the Court long back in April, 2005. After knowing the fact about necessity to file rejoinder affidavit, learned counsel Mr. Dinesh is seeking two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit. Considering the fact stated above, no further time can be granted. List the matter before the Hon'ble Court.

(S.G.SHAH)

Registrar