

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION**

TRANSFER PETITION (Civil) No. 282 OF 2026

TANVI JAIN

PETITIONER

VERSUS

RITESH JAIN

RESPONDENT

ORDER

1. Read the office report dated 15th April, 2026.
2. This transfer petition, under Section 25 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, has been presented by the petitioner - wife, Tanvi Jain.
3. The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent - husband, Ritesh Jain, was solemnized on 7th July, 2018 as per Hindu rites and customs. The parties were blessed with a son named Sanskrit on 18th December, 2020. However, the parties have since drifted apart owing to differences arising by and between them.
4. The respondent has instituted proceedings under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing Case RCS HMA No. 658/2025 titled "Ritesh Jain vs Tanvi Jain", in the Family Court, Indore, Madhya Pradesh.
5. On the multiple grounds urged in the petition, the petitioner has sought for transfer of the aforesaid proceedings to the Family Court, Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

6. Despite service, none has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent. We are, thus, inclined to the view that the respondent has no serious objection to grant of the prayer for transfer.

7. We are also otherwise satisfied, having regard to the inconvenience the petitioner is likely to face if the proceedings were continued in the Family Court, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, which is at a distance of around 387 kms from her present place of residence, that interest of justice demands grant of the prayer for transfer.

8. Hence, the transfer petition is allowed. RCS HMA No. 658/2025 titled "Ritesh Jain vs Tanvi Jain" pending in the Family Court, Indore, Madhya Pradesh is transferred to the Family Court, Bhilwara Rajasthan. Records of RCS HMA No. 658/2025 be transferred to the transferee court immediately.

9. To avoid delay, the parties are directed to appear before the transferee court on 11.05.2026. If any or both the parties fail to appear on that day, the presiding officer of the transferee court will fix another date of appearance. Thereafter, he/she shall be free to proceed in accordance with law.

10. The presiding officer shall endeavour to bring about a settlement between the parties through the process of mediation. If the process is unsuccessful, nothing shall preclude the presiding officer to take the proceedings to its logical conclusion on its merits and in accordance with law.

11. If the respondent is unable to attend the proceedings in person on any occasion, he will be at liberty to seek permission to attend through the virtual mode and if such a permission is sought, the

presiding officer of the court may proceed to consider it reasonably.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA)

**New Delhi;
April 16, 2026.**

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.8

SECTION XVI-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 282/2026

TANVI JAIN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RITESH JAIN

Respondent(s)

IA No. 32586/2026 - EX-PARTE STAY

IA No. 32588/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 16-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Dawneesh Shaktivats, AOR
Mr. Rishabh Rai, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Ajmera, Adv.
Mr. Mohd Yasin, Adv.
Mr. Aman Sabir Saifi, Adv.
Ms. Himanshi Mehta, Adv.
Ms. Nikita Arora, Adv.
Ms. Sumaiya Khan, Adv.
Mr. Kaustubh Khera, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order placed on the file.

(JATINDER KAUR)
P.S. to REGISTRAR

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)