

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 2837-2839/2005

THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS, DELHI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S. BAXTER (I) PVT. LTD.

Respondent(s)

Date : 15/05/2015 These appeals were called on for mentioning today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

Mentioned by:

For Respondent(s) Mr. Lakshmikumaran,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

On being mentioned, Mr. Lakshmikumaran, learned counsel has pointed out typographical error which has occurred in the order dated 8.5.2015. After dismissing the appeals of the Revenue we had ordered that the amount which was deposited by respondent be refunded to the respondent. However, the order mentions that this amount is not to be refunded. Last line in the order stands deleted and superseded by the following line:

"The amount which was deposited by the respondent, shall be refunded to the respondent within three months, in accordance with law."

(SUMAN WADHWA)
AR-cum-PS(SUMAN JAIN)
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2837-2839 OF 2005

The Commnr. Of Customs, Delhi

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/s. Baxter (I) Pvt. Ltd.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

On being mentioned, Mr. Lakshmikumaran, learned counsel has pointed out typographical error which has occurred in the order dated 8.5.2015. After dismissing the appeals of the Revenue we had ordered that the amount which was deposited by respondent be refunded to the respondent. However, the order mentions that this amount is not to be refunded. Last line in the order stands deleted and superseded by the following line:

"The amount which was deposited by the respondent, shall be refunded to the respondent within three months, in accordance with law."

.....J.
(A.K.SIKRI)

.....J.
(ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN)

New Delhi;
Date: 15.5.2015.