

V

R.P(C)No. 985-996 OF 1999
ITEM NO.1&64

COURT NO.8

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 67 In Review Petition(Civil) No.995/1999 and I.A.No.83 In RP
No.985/99 In SLP(C)No. 574 ETC./1984

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS ETC.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

NARINDER PAL SHARMA & ORS ETC.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for impleading party)
(With Office Report)

(RP(C)Nos.985-996/1999)WITH IAS.2 & IA ..in SLP(C)6118/86(for
clarification/modification of Court's order dt.21.11.01 and substn.
and office report)

Date : 14/04/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

For appearing parties: Mrs. Rachna Joshi Issar,Adv.

Mr.Himinder Lal,Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi,Adv.

Mrs.Sureshta Bagga,Adv.

Mr. P.N. Puri,Adv.

Mr. Har Dev Singh,Sr.Adv.

Ms. Madhu Moolchandani,Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik,Adv.

Ms. Shilpa Chauhan,Adv.

Mrs. Lalit Kaushik,Adv.

Respondent in person(NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.J
.SP2

Since the order dated 21st November, 2001 also granted certain reliefs to the respondents who had, in fact made an application for being impleaded as parties it is clear that the applications for impleadment were implicitly allowed and they shall be treated as such. We therefore modify the order by adding a line after the first paragraph to the following effect,

-2-

"I.As. for impleadment are allowed".

Adjourned for one week.

.SP1

(Suman Wadhwa)
Court Master

(Madhu Saxena)
Court Master