

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 6161/2009

COMMERCIAL TAXES OFFICER

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S. MARUDHARA MOTORS

Respondent(s)

WITH

- C.A. No. 6162/2009
  - C.A. No. 6163/2009
  - C.A. No. 6164/2009
  - C.A. No. 6165/2009
  - C.A. No. 6166/2009
  - C.A. No. 6167/2009
  - C.A. No. 6168/2009
  - C.A. No. 6171/2009
  - C.A. No. 6172/2009
  - C.A. No. 6173/2009
  - C.A. No. 3820/2011
  - C.A. No. 3821/2011
  - C.A. No. 3822/2011
  - C.A. No. 3823/2011
  - C.A. No. 3824/2011
  - C.A. No. 3825-3826/2011
  - C.A. No. 3827/2011
  - C.A. No. 4019/2011
  - C.A. No. 4021/2011
  - C.A. No. 5967/2011
  - C.A. No. 5969/2011
  - C.A. No. 2756/2012
  - C.A. No. 5815/2012
  - C.A. No. 3856/2013
- (With Office Report)

Date : 23/04/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms.Harsha Vinoy,adv.  
Mr. Milind Kumar,Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
Sushma Kumari Bajaj  
Date: 2015.04.25

Mr. Irshad Ahmad,Adv.

09:57:13 IST

Reason:

Mr. P. K. Manohar,Adv.  
M/s. Karanjawala & Co.,Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Kaushik,Adv.  
Ms. Pragati Neekhra,Adv.

ITEM NO.88

-2-

.....2

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Rohit Sharma,adv.  
M/s. Karanjawala & Co.,Adv.

Mr. Kamlendra Mishra,Adv.  
Mr. G. N. Reddy,Adv.  
Mr. Naresh Kumar,Adv.  
Dr. Kailash Chand,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Civil Appeal Nos.6161-6162, 6164-6168, 6171 to 6173/2009, 5967 & 5969/2011

In all the matters, numbered above, Ld.counsel for the parties have already filed the statement of case. Viewed in that context, the same shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court on their own turn.

Civil Appeal No.6163/2009

The office report indicates that the Ld.counsel for the appellant has already filed the statement of case. The office report further is that the Ld.counsel for the respondent has failed to file the statement of case although he has been notified to do so by letter dated 21.01.2009 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

Civil Appeal Nos.6172/2009, 3820,3821, 3822, 3823, 3824, 3825-3826, 3827, 4019, 4021/2011, 2756 & 5815/2012 and 3856/2013

In all the matters, numbered above the Ld.counsel for the appellant and the Ld.counsel for the respondent have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 21.01.2009 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the

.....3

ITEM NO.88

-3-

Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

SB