

T.P.(C) No. 226 OF 2000

ITEM No.24

Court No. 9

SECTION XVIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Transfer Petition.(Civil) No.226/2000

MADHURI AGNIHOTRI

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

ANURAG AGNIHOTRI

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay)

Date : 05/02/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. PHUKAN

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Anil Karnwal, Adv.
Dr. Sushil Balwada, Adv.
Mr. Devendra Singh,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Ms. Anjna Masih, Adv. for
Mr. Subramonium Prasad.,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

The Transfer Petition is dismissed.

.SP1

(S.Thapar)

(Kanwal Singh)@@

AA
PS to Registrar Court Master@@
AA

The signed order is placed on the file.

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 226 OF 2000@@
CC

Madhuri Agnihotri Petitioner (s)

Versus

Anurag Agnihotri Respondent (s)

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Heard learned counsel for the parties.
Inasmuch as in the Family Court at Jaipur the presence of the parties is essential as they cannot be represented through their counsel. We do not think, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the transfer of the petition as prayed for, would meet the ends of justice.
The Transfer Petition is, therefore, dismissed.

.SP1

.....J
(Syed Shah Mohammed Quadri)

New Delhi,
February 5, 2001

.....J
(S.N. Phukan)