

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 9780/2010

STATE OF M.P.& ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

ASHOK KUMAR KADWANE

Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 9781/2010
(With C.A. No. 9782/2010
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 9783/2010
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 9784/2010
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 9785/2010
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9786/2010
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9787/2010
(With Office Report)

Date : 19/02/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija,Adv.

Mr. B. S. Banthia,Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Madhu Grover
Date: 2015.02.20

Mr. Vikas Bansal,Adv.]
Mr. Mishra Saurabh,Adv.

16:46:49 IST

Reason:

ITEM NO.92

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sanjay K. Agrawal,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that the appellants and the respondent
have failed to file the statement of case, although they were

notified to do so by notice dated 9.11.2011 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. In view of the rule position cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

MG