

**SECTION-IV**  
**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4467 OF 2013**

State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors.

....Appellants

**VERSUS**

Mohit Jain & Anr.

...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING**

The Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

- (a) There are two Respondents. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 have been served with Notice at SLP stage but has chosen not to enter appearance.
- (b) Original record in the appeal has been returned to concerned High Court vide office order dated 03.12.2014.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No application for substitution is pending.
- (f) Neither Counsel for Appellant as well as Respondent has not filed Statement of Case despite this Registry's letter dated 12.06.2015. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. If the Respondent has not filed statement of case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the respondent does not desire to lodge statement of case in the appeal.
- (g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 20<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2016.

-sd/-

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**Copy to:-**

1. Mr. C.D. Singh, Advocate.  
B-10, Green Park Main, New Delhi-16
2. Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Advocate.  
6, Babar Lane (FF), Bengali Market, New Delhi

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**