

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10041/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 16/12/2010 in AST No.405/2010
of The HIGH COURT OF CALCUTTA)

VIVEKANANDA MAHATO & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment and I.A. No. 60 - appln. for impleadment for
party respondent and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 10042 of 2011

(With appln(s) for impleadment and I.A. No. 16 - appln. for impleadment for
party respondent and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 10043 of 2011

(With appln(s) for impleadment and I.A. No. 10 - appln. for impleadment for
party respondent and office report)

Date: 03/05/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, Adv.
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Adv.
Mr. Niraj Bobby Paonam, Adv.

Mr. Chanchal Kr. Ganguli, Adv.
Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, Adv
Ms. Soumi Kundu, Adv.

Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick, Adv.
Mr. Niloy Das Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Soma Mullick, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

S.L.P. (Civil) No. 10041 of 2011

In the special leave petition the petitioners have stated that they were the persons who were qualified to appear at the written examination as they got more than 68% marks in their secondary examination and were eligible for interview as they were actually in zone of consideration.

Respondent Nos. 8, 9 and 10, on the other hand, in their

counter affidavit have stated that the petitioners were unsuccessful and had failed after appearing in the examination.

No rejoinder has been filed by the petitioners to the above statement made by respondent Nos. 8, 9 and 10 in their counter affidavit.

Having regard to above controversy, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for time to enable the petitioners to place on record documents showing that they had, in fact, secured 68% marks in the secondary examination and that they had qualified in the written examination and the stand taken by respondent Nos. 8, 9 and 10 that they were unsuccessful and had failed in the examination is incorrect.

Additional affidavit along with documents may be filed by the petitioners within eight weeks.

List the matter thereafter.

S.L.P.(Civil) No. 10042 of 2011 &
S.L.P. (Civil) Nos. 10043 of 2011

List these special leave petitions along with S.L.P. (Civil) No. 10041 of 2011.

|(Rajesh Dham)
|Court Master

| |(Renu Diwan)
| |Court Master

|