

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 730-731 OF 2003

MOHD. ALI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 15/07/2010 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. C.K. Sucharita, Adv.
Ms. Nirada Das, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeals are disposed of.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 730-731 OF 2003

MOHD. ALI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal, by special leave, has been filed against
the impugned judgment of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh

dated 25.06.2002.

The facts of the case have already been stated in the impugned judgment of the High Court, and hence we are not repeating them here.

On the facts and circumstances of the case and to do substantial justice between the parties, we are of the opinion that ends of justice will be sub-served if, while maintaining the conviction of the appellant, we alter the sentence to the period already undergone by the appellant.

We order accordingly. However, the fine and default clause shall remain intact. The impugned judgment of the High Court is modified to that extent.

The Appeals are disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
JULY 15, 2010.