

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C)D. No. 5616 OF 2026

IN

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) No.728 OF 2023

IN

CIVIL APPEAL No. 4735 OF 2022

KOMMARAJU MAHESH & ORS.

... PETITIONERS

Versus

V.V. SRINIVASA RAO & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. The application for permission to file the Review Petition is allowed.
2. The application for listing the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.
3. Despite the fact that there is an inordinate delay of 957 days in filing these Review Petition for which no satisfactory explanation has been furnished, we have gone through the same on merits. In our opinion, no case for review of the order dated 17.05.2023, passed in Contempt Petition (C) No.728/2023 in C.A. No.4735 of 2022, is made out.
4. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....2/-

5. As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 08, 2026.

ITEM NO.1006

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

**REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).5616/2026 IN CONMT.PET.
(C) No.728/2023 IN C.A. No.4735/2022**

KOMMARAJU MAHESH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

V.V. SRINIVASA RAO & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 30729/2026 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT

IA No. 30718/2026 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE REVIEW PETITION

IA No. 30722/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 30732/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 30740/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING PAPER BOOKS

IA No. 30708/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 08-04-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

By Circulation

**UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1. The application for permission to file the Review Petition is allowed.**
- 2. The application for listing the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.**
- 3. The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits in terms of the signed order.**
- 4. As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.**

**(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR**

**(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

(signed order is placed on the file)