

High Court. The Writ Appeal filed by the petitioners was also dismissed on 8.2.2011, aggrieved whereof the petitioners have filed the present special leave petition.

When the special leave petition first time came up for hearing before this Court on 23.2.2011, this Court while issuing notice to the respondents, referred the dispute between the parties to the mediation of Mr. Justice N.V. Balasubramaniam, a former Judge of Madras

High Court. The parties accordingly appeared before the mediator, who has now submitted a report dated 22.11.2011 pointing out that the parties have entered into an amicable and mutually acceptable arrangement between them which arrangement has been set out in the form of a compromise annexed to the mediation report.

Mr. R. Venkataramani, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners and Mr. Guru Krishna Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submit on instructions that the compromise arrangement arrived at between the parties is intended to provide a lasting solution to the problem. They sought substitution of the orders passed by the Commissioner and Joint Commissioner,

Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Board, Chennai, by the compromise arrangement arrived at between the parties.

In the circumstances therefore all that needs to be done is to dispose of the writ petition in terms of the amicable settlement arrived at between the parties. The orders passed by the High Court are required to be set aside and the writ petition disposed of in terms of the settlement as set out in the compromise memo. We order accordingly. The compromise arrived at between the parties shall form part of this order.

We hope and trust that the parties will make the compromise arrangement effective within two weeks from today.

IA No. 2 and special leave petition are accordingly
disposed of.

(N.K. GOEL)
Court Master

(VEENA KHERA)
Court Master