

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 9376 OF 2026

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 14888 OF 2025

KARTIK SHARMA

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

**STATE OF RAJASTHAN &
ORS.**

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 9377 OF 2026

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 14888 OF 2025

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2026

(DIARY NO. 5539 OF 2026)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 14888 OF 2025

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Applications seeking oral hearing of the Review Petitions are rejected.
3. As per office report dated 29th April 2026, the Review Petitions are defective.
4. Despite communication, learned counsel has not cured the

defects.

5. Having perused the Review Petitions and the connected papers with meticulous care, we do not find any justifiable reason to entertain the Review Petitions.

6. The Review Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

....., **J.**
(J. K. MAHESHWARI)

....., **J.**
(VIJAY BISHNOI)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 06, 2026.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

R.P.(C) No. 9376/2026 in C.A. No. 14886-14888/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-12-2025
in C.A. No. No. 14888/2025 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

KARTIK SHARMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 18005/2026 - ORAL HEARING)

WITH

R.P.(C) No. 9377/2026 in C.A. No. 14886-14888/2025 (XV)
(FOR ORAL HEARING ON IA 19796/2026)

Diary No(s). 5539/2026 (XV)
(FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING ON IA 28976/2026 FOR
APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT ON IA
28977/2026)

Date : 06-05-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

By Circulation

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Applications seeking oral hearing of the Review Petitions are rejected.
3. As per office report dated 29th April 2026, the Review Petitions are defective.

4. Despite communication, learned counsel has not cured the defects.

5. Having perused the Review Petitions and the connected papers with meticulous care, we do not find any justifiable reason to entertain the Review Petitions.

6. The Review Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(NAND KISHOR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR