

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos. 20521-20522/2011

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10/11/2010 in RFA No. 266/2005,10/01/2011 in RP No. 484/2010 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At Bangalore)

P.PRAMILA RANI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NARASAMMA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date : 21/09/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Amit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rajan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Kiran Suri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. C.V. Nagesh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S.J. Amith, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Kumar, Adv.
Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The short question in this petition is whether the petitioner, P. Pramila Rani, is the daughter of Mr. A. Punnuswamy Naidu.

We are unable to get complete details with regard to the evidence adduced, therefore, we direct the trial court to examine P. Pramila Rani and other concerned persons, who might be named by P. Pramila Rani, and other parties to the

Suit so as to ascertain whether P. Pramila Rani is daughter of Mr. A. Punnuswamy Naidu. The trial court shall submit its report to this Court within four months from today. The parties shall appear before the trial court on 26th October, 2015.

It would be open to the trial court to examine and permit cross-examination of the concerned witnesses so as to arrive at a conclusion whether P. Pramila Rani is the daughter of Mr. A. Punnuswamy Naidu. The petitioner shall remain present before the trial court on 16th November, 2015 so that she can get herself examined and on that day, a list of witnesses shall be submitted to the court whom she desires to examine as witnesses, who would remain present before the court on the day specified by the trial court.

Intimation of this order be forwarded to the trial court.

List the matter on 8th February, 2016.

The learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.1 has fairly submitted that even without going into the afore-stated examination of the concerned witnesses, Respondent No.2 is ready and willing to pay Rs.1 lakh with interest @12% with effect from the date of the suit, i.e., 2nd February, 1999 to the petitioner. The said fact shall be considered on the next date of hearing.

(Sarita Purohit)
Court Master

(Sneh Bala Mehra)
Assistant Registrar