

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

IA NOS. 7 AND 8
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 7527-7530 OF 2005

VIJAY KUMAR & ORS.APPELLANT(S)	
VERSUS		
STATE OF JAMMU & KASHMIR & ORS.RESPONDENT(S)	

O R D E R

Heard Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, counsel appearing for the applicants, Mr. John Mathew, counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 35 to 37 in the civil appeal, and Mr. Sunil Fernandes, standing counsel for the State of Jammu & Kashmir.

IA No. 7 is filed under the apprehension that the order dated November 25, 2010, by which this Court disposed of Civil Appeal No. 7526 of 2005 and Civil Appeal Nos. 7527-7530 of 2005 with the direction to the concerned authorities in the State Government to consider the case of the appellants in that appeal for their regularization in service in terms of the provisions of Jammu & Kashmir Civil Services (Special Provisions) Act, 2010, would give them some undue advantage or benefit.

The apprehension is unfounded, first, because the orders of the High Court are left undisturbed and, secondly, because the consideration of their cases for regularization can only be done within the four corners of the Jammu & Kashmir Civil Services (Special Provisions) Act, 2010.

IA No.7 is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

...2/-

: 2 :

IA No.8, which is an application for impleadment, does not survive and is dismissed.

.....J.
(AFTAB ALAM)

.....J.
(RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI)

NEW DELHI,
NOVEMBER 30, 2012.

ITEM NO.1
XVIA

COURT NO.5

SECTION

IA Nos. 7 and 8 in
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 7527-7530 OF 2005

VIJAY KUMAR & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JAMMU & KASHMIR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. for modification of Court's order, impleadment and
office report)

Date: 30/11/2012 These Applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv. (NP)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. John Mathew, Adv.
Mr. Gaichangpou Gangmei, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Ms. Vernika Tomar, Adv.
Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Raghav Chadha, Adv.
Ms. Insha Mir, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

IA No.7 is disposed in terms of the signed order.
IA No.8, which is an application for impleadment, does not
survive and is dismissed.

|(N.S.K. Kamesh)

| |(Sneh Bala Mehra)

|
|Court Master

| |Court Master

|