

SECTION-IV
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 11381 – 11388 OF 2011

Dharam Vir & Ors.

....Appellants

VERSUS

State of Haryana Etc.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING

The Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

- (a) The sole common respondent is represented by Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Advocate.
- (b) Original record in the appeal has been returned to concerned High Court vide office order dated 03.12.2014.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No application for substitution is pending.
- (f) Neither Counsel for Appellants nor Counsel for Respondent have not filed Statement of Case despite letter dated 16.01.2014. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the appellants have adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and do not desire to file any further statement of case. If the Respondent has not filed statement of case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the respondents does not desire to lodge statement of case in the appeal.
- (g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 30th day of Septemeber, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

1. Dr. Kailash Chand, Advocate.
Supreme Court, Library 2, New Delhi 110001
2. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Advocate.
Apex Law Offices, D-238 (LGF), Jangpura Extn, New Delhi

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR