

In the application for condonation of delay of more than 7 years, the petitioner has averred that they were recently advised by the advocate to challenge the judgment of the High Court because in similar matter higher compensation has been awarded by this Court. In our view, the explanation given by the petitioner is not satisfactory and there is no valid ground for exercise of power by this Court under section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act, 1963. Consequently, the applications for condonation of delay are dismissed.

As a sequel to this, the special leave petitions are dismissed as barred by time.

However, the petitioner may, if so advised, approach the High Court for review of the judgment under challenge by claiming that in a similar matter "Iyya Swamy versus Tehsildar" (2010) 10 SCC 464, this Court restored market value of the land fixed by the Reference Court.

3

(A.D. Sharma)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master