

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 2729/2012

RASHTRIYA MUKTI MORCHA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date : 12/09/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. M. K. Garg, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R.S. Nagar, Adv.

Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Ram, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

What gets revealed from the perusal of the office report is that service is complete in the matter. The Original Record has been received from the High Court and the same is available in the Registry for reference of the Hon'ble Court. The office report further is that the respondent No.1 has filed the statement of case but the appellant and the respondent No.2 have not filed the same although they were notified to file the same by this Registry's notice dated 4.2.2013. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the

time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited above no further opportunity for filing the statement of case is warranted to be given to the parties. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar