

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).1097/2007
(From the judgment and order dated 07/02/2007 in CRLM No.
79843/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH)

JAGDISH SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for anticipatory bail and office report)

WITH

SLP(Crl) NO. 1148 of 2007

(With appln. for anticipatory bail and office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 1487 of 2007

(With appln. for anticipatory bail and office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 1504 of 2007

(With appln. for anticipatory bail and office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 1530 of 2007

(With appln. for anticipatory bail and office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 1129 of 2007

(With appln. for anticipatory bail and office report)

Date: 23/11/2007 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sudhir Walia, Adv.

Mr. Mahinder Singh Dahiya, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.K.K. Khurana, Add. Adv. Gem. Pb.

Mr. A.K. Mehta, Adv.

Ms. Rashi Khurana, Adv.

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

In our opinion, the High Court is not wrong in observing
that the 'custodial investigation' of the accused was necessary and
hence grant of pre-arrest bail was denied. We see no infirmity
therein.

..2/-

SLP(Crl.) 1097/2007 etc..contd..(It. 32,Ct.9 dt.23.11.2007)

:2:

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the
investigation is over and the charge sheet has been filed. We
express no opinion. The order of the High Court cannot be said to
be illegal which calls for interference under Article 136 of the
Constitution. The special leave petitions are, therefore, dismissed.

Court Master

Court Master