

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9291-9296/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 31/10/2012 in WPCRL No.230/2011 dated 08/11/2012 in WPCRL No.230/2011 dated 21/12/2012 in WPCRL No.230/2011 dated 07/01/2013 in WPCRL No.230/2011,CC No.11/2013 dated 10/01/2013 in LPA No.7/2013 dated 04/02/2013 in WPCRL No.230/2011,CC No.11/2013 of The HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI)

SHEKHAR SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions, and prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 8997-9000 of 2013

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 16866 of 2013

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH I.A.1(appln.(s) for impleadment)

Date: 05/07/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Pratibha Jain, AOR

Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, AOR

Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv.

Mr. Pramod Kr. Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

R.2

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

Ms. Manjeet Kirpal, AOR

R.2

Mr. Akshat Shrivastava, Adv.

Mr. Inderjeet Yadav, Adv.

For Mrs. Manjeet Kirpal, AOR

St. of Jharkhand

Mohd. Waquas, Adv.

Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, AOR

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Pursuant to the order passed on 6th May, 2013, a report has been duly filed by the Member Secretary of the Bihar State Legal Services Authority, regarding the manner in which the petitioner

and the respondent No.2 are living together with the two children at 'Khushi Villa' in Patna, which has been rented by the husband, in terms of our earlier orders. Let copies of the report be made available to Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain and Mr. Akshat Sharma, advocates, to enable them to look into the matter further and take instructions from their respective clients.

We are informed by Ms. Makhija, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.2, that there are some doubts about the school to which the children are said to have been admitted. According to Ms. Makhija, the children are neither being taken to the school, nor are they being provided with any study-materials in connection with their schooling.

Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, appearing for the petitioner-husband, has however, pointed out that the children are, in fact, enrolled in the Christ Church Diocesan School in Patna.

In addition to what we have indicated hereinabove, the petitioner and the respondent No.2 are directed to take the two children to meet the Principal of the school in order to ensure that their education is not affected in any way on account of the disputes between the parties.

Let these matters be listed for further consideration next Friday (12th July, 2013), at 3.30 p.m. and let this Bench be reconstituted on the said date and time for the said purpose.

Needless to say that, the prior arrangements, as directed by our earlier Order, shall continue in the meantime.

|(Sheetal Dhingra)
|AR-cum-PS

|(Juginder Kaur)
|Assistant Registrar

|