

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 816 OF 2006

GOGULA RAJARAO

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RUTHALA YERRA PATRUDU @ BABULU & ANR.ETC

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This appeal has been filed by the complainant against the order of acquittal by the High Court.

In spite of the fact that the list has been raised, none is present on behalf of the complainant-appellant.

No one appeared even on the second call.

It needs to be noticed that the State has not filed any appeal against the judgment of acquittal (impugned herein) passed by the High Court.

The appeal is dismissed in default.

.....J.
(Dr. B.S. CHAUHAN)

.....J.
(SWATANTER KUMAR)

NEW DELHI,
June 08, 2011.

ITEM NO.110

COURT NO.2

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 816 OF 2006

GOGULA RAJARAO

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RUTHALA YERRA PATRUDU @ BABULU & ANR.ETC

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 08/06/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR
[VACATION BENCH]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv. (N.P.)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu , Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Allanki, Adv.

Ms. Savita Dhanda, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in default in
terms of the signed order.

(Sheetal Dhingra)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]