

@

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 198 OF 2006

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

J.P. DUBEY

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the incident relates to the year 2000 and the vehicle in question has already been released to the respondent.

Therefore, we do not think it would be necessary for us to interfere in this appeal at this stage.

Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of. However, the question of law raised is kept open.

.....J
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(R.K. AGRAWAL)

NEW DELHI;
December 10, 2015.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Meenakshi Kohli
Date: 2015.12.17
16:02:56 IST
Reason:
ITEM NO.114

COURT NO.12

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 198/2006

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

J.P. DUBEY

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for stay and office report)

Date : 10/12/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Appellant(s) Mr. Mishra Saurabh, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Balraj Dewan, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of. However, the question of law raised is kept open in terms of the signed order.

(R.NATARAJAN)
Court Master

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)