

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2790 OF 2005

MOORTI SHRI MANSHA DEVI & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution,c/delay in filing substitution  
appln. and office report )

Date: 14/03/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Appellant(s) Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv.  
Ms Savitri Pandey, Adv. for  
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.  
  
Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad,Adv. (N.P.)  
  
Rr-Ex-Parte

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Appeal is allowed in part in terms of signed order.  
No costs.

(Pardeep Kumar) (Renu Diwan)  
Court Master Court Master

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE ]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2790 OF 2005

MOORTI SHRI MANSHA DEVI AND ANOTHER

... APPELLANT(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENT(s)

O R D E R

I.A. No. 2 for condonation of delay in filing  
substitution application is allowed.

2. I.A. No. 1 - for substitution is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) for the purposes of completion of array of parties.

3. On February 14, 2011 this Court felt that efforts should be made to resolve the dispute between the parties through mediation. Accordingly, Justice Kuldip Singh, former Judge of this Court was requested to mediate and resolve the dispute between the parties through mediation.

4. Justice Kuldip Singh (retd.) has sent his report dated September 29, 2011 wherein he has stated that the parties have not been able to reach any settlement and the mediation proceedings failed.

:2:

5. In the course of hearing on the observations made by the Court, Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, learned counsel for the appellants and Mr. Manjit Singh, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 agreed for amicable settlement to the effect that the respondent No. 4 - Shri Mata Mansa Devi Shrine Board shall pay compensation in the sum of Rs. 2 lakhs in full and final settlement of the claim of deceased appellant No. 2 - Vishan Diyal through his legal representatives who have been brought on record today.

6. The agreement between the parties appears to us to be fair and reasonable and we are satisfied that the appeal may be disposed of on the basis of the agreement noted above.

7. Civil Appeal is, accordingly, allowed in part.

The respondent No. 4 - Shri Mata Mansa Devi Shrine Board shall pay a sum of Rs. 2 lakhs to

Vidyawati

Kaushal and Manish Kaushal - legal representatives of deceased appellant No. 2 - Vishan Diyal

within two

months from today. On payment of the above amount, the

entire claim of the deceased appellant No. 2 - Vishan  
Diyal with regard to the subject matter of dispute  
shall stand satisfied and no further claim of any

: 3 :

nature by the legal representatives of deceased  
appellant No. 2 shall be entertained by any forum or  
authority.

8. No costs.

.....J.  
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.  
(H.L. GOKHALE)

NEW DELHI  
MARCH 14, 2012.