

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 331 OF 2007

STATE OF RAJASTHAN  
... Appellant(s)

Versus

HANIF ... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard.

It is stated by learned counsel for the respondent that the respondent is reported to have died. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed as having become infructuous.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.

(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.

(UDAY UMESH LALIT )

New Delhi,  
Dated: 8 th  
February, 2017.

2

ITEM NO.116 COURT NO.11 SECTION II  
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 331/2007  
STATE OF RAJASTHAN Appellant(s)

VERSUS

HANIF Respondent(s)  
(with office report)

Date : 08/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.  
CORAM :

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Puneet Parihar, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Ranjan Mohanty, Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Atishi Dipankar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed as infructuous in terms of the signed order.

(Shashi Sareen)

( Veena Khera)

AR-cum-PS Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)