

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 331 OF 2007

STATE OF RAJASTHAN ... Appellant(s)

Versus

HANIF ... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard.

It is stated by learned counsel for the respondent that the respondent is reported to have died. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed as having become infructuous.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.
(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

New Delhi,
Dated: 8th February, 2017.

ITEM NO.116

COURT NO.11

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 331/2007

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

HANIF

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 08/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALITFor Appellant(s) Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Puneet Parihar, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Ranjan Mohanty, Adv.
Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Atishi Dipankar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RThe appeal is dismissed as infructuous in terms of the
signed order.(Shashi Sareen)
AR-cum-PS(Veena Khara)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)