



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL No. _____/2026
[Arising out of SLP (Crl.) No.2453/2026]**

**DHARMESH NANUBHAI
SAVALIYA** **APPELLANT**

VERSUS

STATE OF ODISHA **RESPONDENT**

WITH

**CRIMINAL APPEAL No. _____/2026
[Arising out of SLP (Crl.) No.2629/2026]**

KUMANBHAI SABHAYA **APPELLANT**

VERSUS

STATE OF ODISHA **RESPONDENT**

ORDER

1. Leave granted.
2. The High Court of Orissa at Cuttack, by the impugned judgments and orders dated 18th August, 2025, has rejected the appellants'

prayer for grant of bail.

3. Appellants - Savaliya and Sabhaya - were arrested on 22nd March, 2025 and 21st March, 2025, respectively, in connection with FIR No.0038 of 2024 dated 09th July, 2024 registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District CID, Odisha under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 66(C) and 66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. Chargesheet has been filed on 18th June, 2025 and the charges have also been framed on 19th January, 2026. Prosecution proposes to examine 6 (six) witnesses to drive home the charges against the appellants.

6. We also find that trial has not yet commenced. Having regard to the present status of the trial, it appears that trial would take some

time to conclude.

7. Also, two co-accused, i.e., Accused No.1- Sejal Ravikumar Sabhaya and Accused No.4- Paras Dhirubhai Jesani, have been enlarged on bail and the appellants are entitled to claim parity.

8. Taking an overall view of the matter, we are of the considered opinion that further detention of the appellants' pending trial is not necessary; and, since the appeals deserve acceptance, the appellants may be admitted to orders for grant of bail.

9. Accordingly, we set aside the impugned judgments and orders.

10. Appellants shall be released on bail, subject to furnishing of bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court and subject to such other terms and conditions as may be imposed by it.

11. Needless to observe, the appellants shall not, directly or indirectly, by making

inducement, threat or promise, dissuade any person acquainted with the facts of the case from disclosing such facts to the court.

12. In the event there is any breach of the terms and conditions for grant of bail, the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail of the appellants.

13. It is also ordered that the appellants shall diligently attend proceedings of the trial, unless exempted. If they abstain from attending the proceedings without justifiable cause, that could also be seen as breach of the conditions for grant of bail and the trial court will be free to pass appropriate orders.

14. We clarify that the observations made in this order and grant of bail will not be treated as findings on the merits of the case.

15. The appeals are, accordingly, allowed on the aforesaid terms.

16. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA)

**New Delhi;
May 07, 2026.**

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.8

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (CrI.)
No.2453/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-08-2025 in BLAPL No.5347/2025 passed by the High Court of Orissa at Cuttack]

DHARMESH NANUBHAI SAVALIYA

Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF ODISHA

Respondent

I.A. No.34263/2026-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

SLP(CrI) No.2629/2026 (II-B)

I.A. No.36336/2026-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

I.A. No.36335/2026-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 07-05-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Somesh Chandra Jha, AOR
Mr. A.S. Timbalia, Adv.
Ms. Sonal M.sharma, Adv.

Ms. Pooja Dhar, AOR

**For Respondent(s) : Ms. Astha Singh, AOR
Mr. Chandan Malav, Adv.
Ms. Shailja Singh, Adv.**

**Ms. Divya Roy, AOR
Mr. Satyam Pahal, Adv.**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made
the following**

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeals are allowed in terms of the signed order.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(MANIK KUMAR) (SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT COURT MASTER (NSH)
(signed order is placed on the file)**