

□
SLP(Crl.)No. 1126-1128 OF 2003
ITEM No.25

Court No. 3

SECTION IIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 1126-1128/2003

(From the judgement and order dated 27/02/2003 in CRLMP 2040 to 2042/03
in Crl. Appeal No. 1858 to 1860/2002 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

K. THAKKSHINAMURTHY

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE REP. BY INSPECTOR OF POLICE,T.NADU

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay and Office Report)

Date : 05/05/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

For Petitioner (s)Mr. S.Nanda Kumar, Adv.
Mr. M.Yogesh Kanna, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma,Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. L.N.Rao, ASG,
Mr. A.Mariarputham, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order
placed on the file.

(Shashi Sareen) (Janki Bhatia)
Court Master Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. OF 2004
(ARISING OUR OF SLP (CRL) NO. 1126-1128/2003)

K.THAKKSHINAMURTHY

...
Appellant (s)

Versus

STATE REP. BY INSPECTOR OF POLICE, T.NADU

...
Respondent (s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.
Leave granted.

It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that he has since furnished immovable property as security. If that be so, we direct that in substitution of the order made by the High Court that the security offered by the appellant may be accepted, if the same is to the satisfaction of the officer concerned. The appeal is allowed to that extent.

.....J.
(N.SANTOSH HEGDE)

.....J.
(B.P.SINGH)

New Delhi,
MAY 5, 2004.