¬
C.A.No. 3381 OF 2001
ITEM No.32 Court No. 1 SECTION IIIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No.3381/2001

BHAGWANDAS SHANTILAL MALVI Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL CORPPORATION OF GREATER BOMBAY Respondent (s)

(Prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date : 23/09/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Appellant (s) Mr. Parag P Tripathi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Indranil Ghosh, Ms. Sunali Anand,
Ms. Sushmita Kapur and Mr. Annindya
Banerjee, Advs. for
M/s Fox Mandal & Co.,Advs.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Pallav Shishodia, Adv.
Mr. D N Mishra, Adv.
for M/s J.B. Dadachanji & Co.,Advs.

Mr. V B Joshi, Adv.
Mr. S S Shinde, Adv.
Mr. V N Raghupathy, Adv.
Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.(NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

........L.......I........T........T.......T.......T.......T.......J

Further affidavit regarding progress be filed within three weeks. While considering the matter stated to be under consideration, respondents must bear in mind that legislation which is made should be for the benefit of the people and not for the benefit of the Inspectors. Legislation should be such as to enable the people to become law-abiding rather than to encourage the dubious methods with a view to avoid paying house tax, which in Bombay is at an astronomical figure of more than 150 per cent of the rateable avalue or the rent received. How such an imposition can be regarded as non-arbitrary or just is highly questionable.

To come up on 28th October, 2002.

Kalyani. (S.L. GOYAL)@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

COURT MASTER @@

A AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA