

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3381 OF 2001

BHAGWANDAS SHANTILAL MALVI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL CORPPORATION OF GREATER BOMBAY

Respondent(s)

(Prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 04/02/2005 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Sangeeta Mandal,Adv.

Ms. Jayasree Singh,Adv.

for M/s. Fox Mandal & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Pallav Shishodia,Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Khatana,Adv.

Mr. D.N. Mishra,Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Seen the letter circulated by the learned advocate for the State of Maharashtra.

The matter has been repeatedly adjourned. We direct that within twelve weeks, the matter should be decided one way or the other and an affidavit be filed by the State of Maharashtra.

Ms. Sangeeta Mandal, learned counsel for the appellant, states that she has given no-objection to the appellant to enable him to engage another advocate but no other counsel is appearing. It is for the learned counsel to take appropriate steps.

The matter is adjourned.

[ T.I. Rajput ]

[ V.P. Tyagi ]

Court Master

Court Master

2ITEM NO.36

COURT NO.3

SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3381 OF 2001

BHAGWANDAS SHANTILAL MALVI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL CORPPORATION OF GREATER BOMBAY

Respondent(s)

(Prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 04/02/2005 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Sangeeta Mandal,Adv.

Ms. Jayasree Singh,Adv.

for M/s. Fox Mandal & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Pallav Shishodia,Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Khatana,Adv.

Mr. D.N. Mishra,Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Seen the letter circulated by the learned advocate for the State of Maharashtra.

ect that The matter has been repeatedly adjourned. We direct that within twelve weeks, the matter should be decided one way or the other and an affidavit be filed by the State of Maharashtra.

Ms. Sangeeta Mandal, learned counsel for the appellant, states that she has given no-objection to the appellant to enable him to engage another advocate but no other counsel is appearing. It is for the learned counsel to take appropriate steps.

The matter is adjourned.

[ T.I. Rajput ]

Court Master

[ V.P. Tyagi ]

Court Master