

X1

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 2368/2011

B.K.PAVITRA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for impleadment as party respondent and permission to file synopsis and list of dates and clarification/direction and deletion of the name of petitioner and directions and directions and interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 2369/2011

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and appln.(s) for permission to file additional documents and appln.(s) for directions and appln.(s) for impleadment as petitioner and Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 2370-2373/2011

(With appln.(s) for deletion of the name of petitioner and Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 2374-2377/2011

(With appln.(s) for deletion of the name of petitioner and Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 2378/2011

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 2379/2011

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 4320-4327/2011

(With appln.(s) for deletion of the name of petitioner and Office Report)

C.A. No. 5280-5286/2011

(With appln.(s) for deletion of the name of petitioner and Office Report)

Date : 05/10/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

2

For Appellant(s) Ms. Kiran Suri,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Gautam Kumar,Adv.

Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta,Adv.

Mr. G.V.Chandrashekar,Adv.

Mr. N.K. Verma,Adv.

Ms. Anjana Chandrashekar,Adv.

Mr. Kumar Parimal,Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee,Adv.

Mr. Puneet Jain,Adv.

Ms. Christi Jain,Adv.

Ms. Chhaya Kirti,Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta,Adv.

MR. Shailendra Sharma,Adv.

Ms. Priyal Jain,Adv.

Ms. Pratibha Jain,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S. N. Bhat,Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy,Adv.

Mr. S.K. Kulkarni,Adv.

Mr. M.Gireesh Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Ankur S.Kulkarni,Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy,Adv.

Ms. Surabhi Aggarwal,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the respondents in some of

the matters has raised an issue that some of the respondents may not be served with notices. In reply, counsel for the appellants has pointed out that large number of persons were made respondents because although they were writ petitioners but they did not prefer the special leave petition. Hence, they are proforma respondents. It may also be pointed out that notice has also been published in the newspaper and, therefore, there is no defect of notice so as to effect the hearing

3

of these matters.

The earlier order passed on 29 th
April, 2016

shows that there was no objection with regard to service of notice and all the applications under consideration on that date were ordered to be listed along with appeals on 5 th

October, 2016.

On behalf of some of the respondents, a prayer has been made for simple adjournment so that they will be ready with the matter.

In view of such prayer, we direct to list all these matters on 16 th

November, 2016 before an appropriate Bench subject to overnight part-heard.

Convenience volumes may be filed by the parties on the next date.

(Madhu Bala)

(Madhu Narula)

Court Master

Court Master