

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NO. 12 IN C.A. NO. 7948 of 2004

HARIDAS DAS Appellant(s)

VERSUS

USHA RANI BANIK(D) & ORS. Respondent(s)

IN THE MATTER OF

APU BANIK Applicant(s)  
(For appeal against Registrar's order dated 1.3.2008 u/o XVIII,  
S.S.R and office report)

Date: 12/11/2010 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Appellant(s) Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.P.K. Chakravarty, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Apu Banik, In person  
Applicant(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. No. 12 is dismissed in terms of the signed  
order.

[ Charanjeet Kaur ]  
Court Master

[ Kusum Gulati ]  
Court Master

[ Signed order is placed on the file ]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. 12 IN  
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7948 OF 2004

Haridas Das .. Appellant(s)

Versus

Usha Rani Banik (D) & Ors. .. Respondent(s)

IN THE MATTER OF :

Apu Banik .. Applicant(s)

O R D E R

This appeal is preferred by one Apu Banik, Power  
of Attorney Holder of respondent No. 1 Usha Rani Banik (now

deceased) against order dated 1st March, 2008, passed by the Registrar(Judicial), whereby the application (I.A. No. Nil) has been lodged under Rule 5 of Order XVIII of the Supreme Court Rules, 1966.

We have heard the applicant, who appears in person.

At the outset, learned counsel for the appellant, in the main appeal, makes an oral prayer that the averments made in paragraph 13 of the appeal may be deleted and the name of learned counsel mentioned therein be expunged. We order accordingly.

..2/-

: 2 :

Having heard the applicant at some length, we do not find any merit in this appeal. On the facts and circumstances of the case, no fault can be found with the order passed by the learned Registrar.

I.A. No. 12 is dismissed accordingly.

.....J.  
[ D.K. JAIN ]

.....J.  
[ H.L. DATTU ]

NEW DELHI,  
NOVEMBER 12, 2010.